

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/020,932	12/19/2001	Mitsuyuki Goto	217593US2 9890			
22850	7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			KRAMER, JAMES A			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			3627		
•				DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/020,932	GOTO ET AL.	-
Examiner	Art Unit	
James A. Kramer	3627	

	James A. Kramer	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			·
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	umpliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
 Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	:		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: see Final Office Action mailed 1/11/05:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See note attached.</u> 	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. 🗀 Oulet	AN	DREW FISCHER	
		JUSTU 4 22	105
	Θ	mayor 7/20	1

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Amendments do not support a new ground of rejection as they only correct minor informalities.

Applicant asserts that '896 fails to teach image data. Examiner notes that image data of a document represents the data of a document. Applicant is not storing or generating an image, rather image data. As such, '896 represents image data as claimed by Applicant

Applicant asserts that '896 fails to disclose an identification data storage step that decides a range of an output enable data to the party concerned. Examiner notes that the identification data storage step as claimed by Applicant identifies a party concerned. Since '896 identifies the shipper, the carrier and other authorized users, Examiner notes that this represents a range.

Applicant asserts that '896 fails to disclose the data output step for obtaining stored data of goods. Examiner notes that immediate access of the data represents a data output step as claimed by Applicant